arXiv:1207.5780v1 [math.RT] 24 Jul 2012

CLASSIFICATION OF SIMPLE WEIGHT MODULES OF INFINITE DIMENSIONAL WEYL ALGEBRAS

VYACHESLAV FUTORNY AND DIMITAR GRANTCHAROV

Abstract. We provide a classification and explicit realization of the simple weight modules of the infinite dimensional complex Weyl algebra. The injective envelopes and the projective covers of the simple objects in the category of weight modules are obtained as well.

2000 MSC: 17B10, 17B65, 16D60

Introduction

Weyl algebras are important class of algebras that arise naturally both in mathematics and physics. They are largely involved in the theory of $\mathcal{D}$-modules, and in particular, in the proof of the celebrated Kazhdan-Lusztig conjecture. An essential part of the $\mathcal{D}$-module consideration of a finite dimensional simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is the existence of a natural homomorphism between $\mathfrak{g}$ and a finite rank Weyl algebra. In the case of affine Lie algebras, such homomorphisms also exist but the infinite dimensional Weyl algebra $\mathcal{A}_\infty$ comes in the picture. By definition, $\mathcal{A}_\infty$ is a complex unital associative algebra with generators $\{x_i, \partial_i \mid i = 1, 2, ...\}$ and relations $x_i x_j = x_j x_i$, $\partial_i \partial_j = \partial_j \partial_i$, $\partial_i x_j - \partial_j x_i = \delta_{ij}$. It was Wakimoto [W] who first discovered the realization of the standard Verma modules of the affine Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$ as modules of $\mathcal{A}_\infty$. Wakimoto construction was later generalized, using both algebraic and geometric technique, for other types of Verma modules and higher rank affine Lie algebras, see [C], [CF], [FF1], [FF2], [JK], [V].

The connection between infinite dimensional Lie algebras and the Weyl algebra $\mathcal{A}_\infty$ naturally initiates the study of representations of $\mathcal{A}_\infty$. An important category of representations of $\mathcal{A}_\infty$ is formed by the so-called *weight representations*, i.e. representations on which $x_i\partial_i, i = 1, 2..$, act diagonally. Weight representations of finite and infinite Weyl algebras have been extensively studied in the last 20 years. Important results have been obtained in [BB], [BBF], [GS]. In particular, a partial classification of the simple weight modules of $\mathcal{A}_\infty$ over any field $\mathbb{K}$ was provided in [BBF]. On the other hand, new examples of simple weight modules were discovered in [MZ].

The goal of this paper is to complete the classification of the simple weight modules of $\mathcal{A}_\infty$. In particular, our classification includes all simple modules from [BBF] and [MZ]. By using localization technique we are able to realize every simple weight

$\mathcal{A}_\infty$-module, up to a twist by an automorphism θ_J, as the unique simple submodule of the twisted Laurent polynomials $\mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$. Here, the nontrivial action of θ_J on the generators of $\mathcal{A}_\infty$ is $x_j \mapsto \partial_j, \partial_j \mapsto -x_j, j \in J$, $J \subset \{1, 2, ...\}$, and $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ...)$ is any tuple of complex numbers. Another aim of the paper is to be self-contained with relatively short proofs and explicit free fields construction of the classified modules. This explicit cosntruction is helpful for numerous reasons. Firstly, new free field representations of affine Lie algebras and direct limit Lie algebras can easily be constructed. Also, we expect realizations of the representations in terms of sections of vector bundles of flag varieties to be established. Last but not least, we provide an explicit realization of the injective envelopes and projective covers of the simple weight modules which opens new perspectives in the study of indecomposable weight $\mathcal{A}_\infty$-modules.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we include some preliminaries on $\mathcal{A}_\infty$ and its modules. Section 3 is devoted to studying the structural properties of the modules of shifted Laurent polynomials $\mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$. The main properties of the localization functor are included in Section 4, while the main classification result is stated and proved in Section 5. In the last section we provide realizations of the injective envelops and projective covers of the simple objects in the category of weight modules of $\mathcal{A}_\infty$.

Acknowledgements. D.G. gratefully acknowledges the hospitality and excellent working conditions at the São Paulo University where most of this work was completed. V.F. is supported in part by the CNPq grant (301743/2007-0) and by the Fapesp grant (2010/50347-9). D.G is supported in part by the Fapesp grant (2011/21621-8) and by the NSA grant H98230-10-1-0207.

1. Notation and conventions

The base field is $\mathbb{C}$. In this paper $\mathbb{N}$ will stand for the set of positive integers. If A is any subset of $\mathbb{C}$, by $A^{\mathbb{N}}$ we denote the semigroup of all maps $\boldsymbol{\lambda} : \mathbb{N} \to A$. For any element $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ we will write λ_i for $\boldsymbol{\lambda}(i)$ and identify $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ with the infinite tuple $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ...)$. Define $\boldsymbol{\delta_i}$ to be the element in $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ for which $(\boldsymbol{\delta_i})_j = \delta_{ij}$, where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta symbol. If $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in A^{\mathbb{N}}$ is such that $\lambda_i = 0$ for all but finitely many i, we say that $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ has *finite support*. We denote by $A^{\mathbb{N}}_{\text{fin}}$ the subset of $A^{\mathbb{N}}$ consisting of all elements of finite support. For $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$, $\mathcal{I}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ stands for the set of all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ for which λ_i is integer.

2. Preliminaries

In this paper the *infinite dimensional (or, infinite rank) Weyl algebra* will refer to the associative algebra $\mathcal{A}_\infty$ with generators $\{x_i, \partial_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and relations $x_i x_j = x_j x_i$, $\partial_i \partial_j = \partial_j \partial_i$, $\partial_i x_j - \partial_j x_i = \delta_{ij}$, $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$. The Weyl algebra $\mathcal{A}_\infty$ is a quotient of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathcal{H}_\infty)$ of the infinite dimensional Heisenberg algebra $\mathcal{H}_\infty$. Here $\mathcal{H}_\infty$ is the Lie algebra with basis $\{p_i, q_i, z \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$, and relations

$[p_i, p_j] = [q_i, q_j] = [p_i, z] = [q_i, z] = 0$, $[p_i, q_j] = \delta_{i,j} z$, and $z \mapsto 1$ in the epimorphism $U(\mathcal{H}_n) \to \mathcal{A}_\infty$. Every $\mathcal{A}_\infty$-module M can be considered as an $\mathcal{H}_\infty$-module with central charge 1 (z acts as the identity on M). Conversely, every $\mathcal{H}_\infty$-module with central charge 1 can be extended in a unique way to an $\mathcal{A}_\infty$-module. For any subset I of $\mathbb{N}$ the subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}_\infty$ generated by $\{x_i, \partial_i \mid i \in I\}$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{A}_I$, respectively. For $I = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ we set $\mathcal{A}_n = \mathcal{A}_I$.

An $\mathcal{A}_\infty$-module M is called *weight module* if $M = \bigoplus_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}} M^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$, where $M^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} = \{m \in M \mid x_i \partial_i (m) = \lambda_i m, \text{ for every } i \in \mathbb{N}\}$. The set $\operatorname{Supp}(M) := \{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} \mid M^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \neq 0\}$ will be called *the support of* M. For every $u \in \mathcal{A}_\infty$, we define $\operatorname{ad}(u) \in \operatorname{End} \mathcal{A}_\infty$ by $\operatorname{ad}(u)(v) = uv - vu$. The adjoint map $u \mapsto \operatorname{ad}(u)$ (which is not a homomorphism of associative algebras) leads to the root decomposition

$$\mathcal{A}_\infty = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}_{\text{fin}}} \mathcal{A}^{\mathbf{n}}_\infty,$$

$\mathcal{A}^{\mathbf{n}}_\infty := \{u \in \mathcal{A}_\infty \mid \operatorname{ad}(x_i \partial_i)(u) = n_i u, \text{ for every } i \in \mathbb{N}\}$. The following lemma is standard.

Lemma 2.1. *Let M be a weight $\mathcal{A}_\infty$-module and $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}_{\text{fin}}$.*

(i) $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbf{n}}_\infty$ is a free $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbf{0}}_\infty$-module of rank 1.

(ii) $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbf{n}}_\infty M^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \subset M^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\mathbf{n}}$.

(iii) If M is simple (respectively, indecomposable) and $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \operatorname{Supp} M$, then $M^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ is simple (respectively, indecomposable) $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbf{0}}_\infty$-module and $\operatorname{Supp} M \subset \boldsymbol{\lambda} + \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}_{\text{fin}}$.

The category of weight $\mathcal{A}_\infty$-modules (equivalently, of weight $\mathcal{H}_\infty$-modules with central charge 1) will be denoted by $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{A}_\infty)$, or simply by $\mathcal{W}$.

For any $J \subset \mathbb{N}$ we define the automorphism θ_J of $\mathcal{A}_\infty$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_J(x_j) = \partial_j, \theta_J(\partial_j) = -x_j, &\quad \text{if} \quad j \in J; \\ \theta_J(x_i) = x_i, \theta_J(\partial_i) = \partial_i, &\quad \text{if} \quad i \notin J. \end{aligned}$$

For an $\mathcal{A}_\infty$-module M, the module obtained from twisting M by θ_J will be denoted by M^{θ_J}.

3. Modules of twisted Laurent polynomials

In this section we will define a list of weight $\mathcal{A}_\infty$-modules which, as we will show in Section 5, contains all simple objects of $\mathcal{W}$. Denote by $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}]$ and $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$ the rings of polynomials and Laurent polynomials of $x_1, x_2, ...$, respectively. The *standard basis of* $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$ consists of the monomials $x_{i_1}^{k_1} ... x_{i_n}^{k_n}$; $i_1, ..., i_n \in \mathbb{N}, k_1, ..., k_n \in \mathbb{Z}$. The space $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$ is an $\mathcal{A}_\infty$-module through the *standard action of* $\mathcal{A}_\infty$, i.e. via $x_i \mapsto x_i, \partial_i \mapsto \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$. It is not difficult to prove that that $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}]$ is the unique simple submodule of $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$. More general result will be formulated in Proposition 3.4 below.

In what follows, for $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ we will consider formal expressions $\mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} = x_1^{\lambda_1} x_2^{\lambda_2}...$ and assume that $\mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}} = \mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\boldsymbol{\mu}}$. In particular, the standard basis of $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$ can be written as $\{\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{n}} \mid \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}_{\rm fin}\}$. For $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ define

$$\mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}] := \{\mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} f \mid f \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]\}.$$

We endow $\mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$ with an $\mathcal{A}_\infty$-module structure through the standard action: $x_i \mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}} = \mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}+\boldsymbol{\delta_i}}$, $\partial_i \mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}} = \mu_i \mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}-\boldsymbol{\delta_i}}$. In the special case when $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}_{\rm fin}$ (in particular, if $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = \mathbf{0}$), $\mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}] = \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$.

The following lemma is straightforward.

Lemma 3.1. *(i) The module $\mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$ is a weight module with basis consisting of the monomials $\mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\mathbf{n}}$, $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}_{\rm fin}$. In particular,* $\operatorname{Supp} \mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}] = \boldsymbol{\lambda} + \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}_{\rm fin}$ *and weight multiplicities of $\mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$ are 1.*

(ii) $\mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$ is isomorphic to the $\mathcal{A}_\infty$-module with underlying vector space $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$ and action

$$x_i \mapsto x_i; \partial_i \mapsto \partial_i + \lambda_i x_i^{-1}.$$

Lemma 3.2. *The $\mathcal{A}_\infty$-modules $\mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$ and $\mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}}\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$ are isomorphic if and only if $\boldsymbol{\lambda} - \boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}_{\rm fin}$.*

Proof. Suppose $\mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}] \simeq \mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}}\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$. Comparing the supports of the two modules, by Lemma 3.1, we have $\boldsymbol{\lambda} + \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}_{\rm fin} = \boldsymbol{\mu} + \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}_{\rm fin}$. The other direction is obvious - if $\boldsymbol{\lambda} - \boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}_{\rm fin}$ then $\mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}] = \mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}}\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$. □

Before we study the structure of the modules $\mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$ recall that their finite analogs are part of the description of the simple weight modules of $\mathcal{A}_n$ ([BBF] and [GS]).

Theorem 3.3. *(i) Every simple weight module of $\mathcal{A}_1$ is isomorphic to one of the following $x_1^{\lambda_1}\mathbb{C}[x_1^{\pm 1}]$, $\lambda_1 \notin \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{C}[x_1]$, $\mathbb{C}[x_1^{\pm 1}]/\mathbb{C}[x_1]$.*

(ii) Every simple weight module of $\mathcal{A}_n = \bigotimes_{i=1}^n \mathcal{A}_{\{i\}}$ is isomorphic to the outer tensor product of n simple modules of $\mathcal{A}_{\{i\}}$, $i = 1, ..., n$.

Proposition 3.4. *Let $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ be such that for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$, if $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ then $\lambda_i \geq 0$. Then the $\mathcal{A}_\infty$-module $\mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$ has a unique simple submodule M. Moreover,*

(i) M is the submodule of $\mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$ generated by $\mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$.

(ii) $M = \operatorname{Span}\{\mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\mathbf{n}} \mid \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}_{\rm fin}, \lambda_i + n_i \geq 0$ for all $i \in \mathcal{I}(\lambda)\}$.

(iii) $M = \{m \in \mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}] \mid$ for every $i \in \mathcal{I}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}), \partial_i^n m = 0,$ for some $n > 0\}$.

Proof. It is easy to show that the right hand sides of (ii) and (iii) coincide. Let $N = \mathcal{A}_\infty \mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ and let L be the module defined by the right hand side of (ii). We need to show that $N = L$ and that N is the unique simple submodule of $\mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$.

We fist show $L \subset N$. Let $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}_{\rm fin}, \lambda_i + n_i \geq 0$ for all $i \in \mathcal{I}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $n_i = 0$ for $i \neq 1, 2, ..., k$ and hence use properties of the finite-dimensional Weyl algebra $\mathcal{A}_k$. Note that $\mathcal{A}_{\{i\}} x_i^{\lambda_i}$ equals either

$\mathbb{C}[x_i]$ (if $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}$) or $x_i^{\lambda_i}\mathbb{C}[x_i^{\pm 1}]$ (if $\lambda_i \notin \mathbb{Z}$). Then by Theorem 3.3, we see that the $\mathcal{A}_k-$module $\mathcal{A}_k(x_1^{\lambda_1}...x_k^{\lambda_k})$ is simple. Therefore, there is $u \in \mathcal{A}_k$ such that $u(x_1^{\lambda_1}...x_k^{\lambda_k}) = x_1^{\lambda_1+n_1}...x_k^{\lambda_k+n_k}$. Then $u(\mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}) = \mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\mathbf{n}}$ and hence $L \subset N$. The reverse inclusion $N \subset L$ easily follows from the fact that L is a submodule of $\mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$ containing $\mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$.

To show that the cyclic module $N = L$ is simple it is enough to show that for every $m \in N$ there is $v \in \mathcal{A}_\infty$ such that $v(m) = \mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$. Since m is a finite linear combination of elements $\mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\mathbf{n}}$ with $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}_{\text{fin}}$ we may assume that $m = \mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}m_1$ with $m_1 \in \mathbb{C}[x_1^{\pm 1}, ..., x_n^{\pm 1}]$ for some (finite) n. Now let $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = \boldsymbol{\lambda'} + \boldsymbol{\lambda''}$, where $\lambda'_i = 0$ and $\lambda''_j = 0$ for all $i > n$ and $j \leq n$. By Theorem 3.3, there is $v \in \mathcal{A}_n$ such that $v(\mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda'}}m_1) = \mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda'}}$. Then $v(\mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}m_1) = \mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda''}}v(\mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda'}}m_1) = \mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$.

Finally, let S be any submodule of $\mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$. To complete the proof, it remains to show that S contains L, or equivalently, $S \cap L \neq 0$. We take any $s \in S$ and show that $w(s) \in L$ for some $w \in \mathcal{A}_\infty$. Assume again that $s = \mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}s_1$ with $s_1 \in \mathbb{C}[x_1^{\pm 1}, ..., x_n^{\pm 1}]$ and $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = \boldsymbol{\lambda'} + \boldsymbol{\lambda''}$, with $\lambda'_i = 0$ and $\lambda''_j = 0$ for all $i > n$ and $j \leq n$. Then for sufficiently large ℓ, $x_1^{\ell}...x_n^{\ell}s_1 \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, ..., x_n]$. Hence $w = x_1^{\ell}...x_n^{\ell}$ will have the desired property. ☐

The unique simple submodule M of $\mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$ will be denoted by $\mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]_{\partial}$.

Example 3.5. *Take* $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = (1, 1, ...)$*, i.e.* $\lambda_i = 1$ *for every* i*, and consider the simple module* $M = \mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]_{\partial}$*. Then* M *is* ∂_i*-locally finite, for every* i *on one hand, but there is no* $m \in M$ *for which* $\partial_i m = 0$ *for every* i*, on the other. Similar examples were considered in* [MZ], *§4.2.*

Corollary 3.6. *The* $\mathcal{A}_\infty$*-module* $\mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$ *is simple if and only if* $\lambda_i \notin \mathbb{Z}$ *for every* $i \in \mathbb{N}$*.*

Corollary 3.7. *If* M *is a simple* $\mathcal{A}_\infty$*-module in* $\mathcal{W}$ *with* $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \operatorname{Supp} M$ *and such that all* x_i, ∂_i *act injectively on* M*, then* $M \simeq \mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$*.*

Proof. Since M is simple, then by Lemma 2.1, the weight space $M^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ is a simple $\mathcal{A}_\infty^{\mathbf{0}}$-module. In particular, $M^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ is isomorphic to the 1-dimensional $\mathcal{A}_\infty^{\mathbf{0}}$-module $\mathbb{C}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ of weight $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$. Let $P(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) := \mathcal{A}_\infty \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_\infty^{\mathbf{0}}} \mathbb{C}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$. Then we have a natural epimorphism $P(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \to M$. But both $P(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ and M have the same (one-dimensional) $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$-weight space and the property that x_i, ∂_i act injectively. Therefore, $\operatorname{Supp} M = \operatorname{Supp} P(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \boldsymbol{\lambda} + \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}_{\text{fin}}$ and $\dim M^{\boldsymbol{\mu}} = \dim P(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$, for $\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \boldsymbol{\lambda} + \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}_{\text{fin}}$. Hence $M = P(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$, and in particular, there is a unique simple module M with the property that $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \operatorname{Supp} M$ and such that all x_i, ∂_i act injectively. On the other hand, $\mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$ is such a module due to Corollary 3.6. This completes the proof. ☐

4. Twisted localization of weight $\mathcal{A}_\infty$-modules

The considerations in the previous section give us motivation to extend $\mathcal{A}_\infty$ to an algebra containing x_i^{-1} for some i. One natural way to "allow" negative powers of some x_i's is to localize $\mathcal{A}_\infty$. The terminology and the properties of the localization functor used in the present paper can be found for example in [MR]. The twisted localization functor was first used for simple finite dimensional Lie algebras in [M].

For $J \subset \mathbb{N}$ consider the multiplicative subset X_J of $\mathcal{A}_\infty$ generated by x_j, $j \in J$. In explicit terms, $X_J = \{\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{n}} \mid \mathbf{n} \in (\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})^{\mathbb{N}}_{\text{fin}}, n_i = 0 \text{ for } i \notin J\}$. Since x_j, $j \in J$, are ad-locally nilpotent on $\mathcal{A}_\infty$, the set X_J is an Ore set. Denote by $\mathcal{D}_J\mathcal{A}_\infty$ the localization of $\mathcal{A}_\infty$ with respect to X_J. For an $\mathcal{A}_\infty$-module M, let $\mathcal{D}_J M = \mathcal{D}_J\mathcal{A}_\infty \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_\infty} M$ the J-*localization of* M. Some basic properties of the localization functor $\mathcal{D}_J$ in the category $\mathcal{W}$ are collected in the next lemma. The proof is standard and is left to the reader.

Lemma 4.1. *Let* $J \subset \mathbb{N}$.

- (i) *If* M *is in* $\mathcal{W}$ *then* $\mathcal{D}_J M$ *is in* $\mathcal{W}$.
- (ii) *If* x_j *acts injectively on a weight module* M *for every* $j \in J$, *then the map* $m \mapsto 1 \otimes m$ *is an injection from* M *to* $\mathcal{D}_J M$.

In view of (ii) of the above lemma, we will view M as a submodule of $\mathcal{D}_J M$ whenever x_j, $j \in J$, act injectively on M.

We next introduce a family of automorphisms of $\mathcal{D}_J\mathcal{A}_\infty$ based on Lemma 3.1 (ii). Let $J \subset \mathbb{N}$, and $\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ be such that $\mu_i = 0$ if $i \notin J$. Define $\Psi_J^{\boldsymbol{\mu}} : \mathcal{D}_J\mathcal{A}_\infty \to \mathcal{D}_J\mathcal{A}_\infty$ to be the homomorphism

$$\begin{array}{rcl} x_i & \mapsto & x_i, i \in \mathbb{N}; \\ \partial_i & \mapsto & \partial_i + \mu_i x_i^{-1}, i \in \mathbb{N}. \end{array}$$

Note that to check that $\Psi_J^{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ is a homomorphism is enough to check that it is a homomorphism when restricted to $\mathcal{D}_{\{i\}}\mathcal{A}_{\{i\}}$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Also, one easily checks that $\Psi_J^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\Psi_J^{\boldsymbol{\mu}} = \Psi_J^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ and in particular $\Psi_J^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\Psi_J^{-\boldsymbol{\lambda}} = \text{Id}$.

For a $\mathcal{D}_J\mathcal{A}_\infty$-module L, denote by $\Psi_J^{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(L)$ the $\mathcal{D}_J\mathcal{A}_\infty$-module obtained from L after twisting it by the automorphism $\Psi_J^{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$.

Remark 4.2. *One may consider* $\Psi_J^{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(L)$ *as the space of formal expressions* $\mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}}\ell, \ell \in L$ *with the "standard action" of* x_i, ∂_i, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, *and* x_j^{-1}, $j \in J$. *Along these lines, another way to look at* $\Psi_J^{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ *is as the generalized conjugation* $u \mapsto \mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}} u \mathbf{x}^{-\boldsymbol{\mu}}$. *We will use these formal expression throughout the paper. One straightforward application is that in the special case when* $\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}_{\text{fin}}$, *we have* $L \simeq \Psi_J^{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(L)$ *with isomorphism given by* $\ell \mapsto \mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}}\ell$.

For an $\mathcal{A}_\infty$-module M we call $\mathcal{D}_J^{\boldsymbol{\mu}} M := \Psi_J^{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(\mathcal{D}_J M)$ the (J, μ)-*twisted localization of* M. We will consider $\mathcal{D}_J^{\boldsymbol{\mu}} M$ both as an $\mathcal{A}_\infty$-module and as a $\mathcal{D}_J\mathcal{A}_\infty$-module.

Lemma 4.3. *(i) If* $J = \mathbb{N}$ *then* $\mathcal{D}_J\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}] \simeq \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$. *In particular* $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$ *is a* $\mathcal{D}_I\mathcal{A}_\infty$-*module for every* $I \subset \mathbb{N}$.

(ii) Let $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ *and* $J \subset \mathbb{N}$ *be such that* $\lambda_i = 0$ *for* $i \notin J$. *Then* $\mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$ *is a* $\mathcal{D}_J\mathcal{A}_\infty$-*module which is isomorphic to* $\Psi_J^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}])$.

(iii) If $\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ *and* $J \subset \mathbb{N}$ *be such that* $\lambda_i = \mu_i = 0$ *for any* $i \notin J$. *Then* $\Psi_J^{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(\mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]) \simeq \mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\boldsymbol{\mu}}\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$.

Proof. For (i) we use that every element of $\mathcal{D}_J\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}]$ can be written uniquely in the form $\mathbf{x}^{-\mathbf{n}}f$, for some $\mathbf{n} \in (\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})^{\mathbb{N}}_{\text{fin}}$ and $f \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}]$. With this observation in mind, the map $\mathbf{x}^{-\mathbf{n}}f \mapsto \mathbf{x}^{-\mathbf{n}}f$ defines the desired isomorphism $\mathcal{D}_J\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}] \simeq \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$. For (ii) we recall that by Remark 4.2 every element of $\Psi_J^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}])$ can be written in the form $\mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}f$, $f \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$. Then the desired isomorphism has again obvious form: $\mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}f \mapsto \mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}f$. In (iii) we use (ii) and the identity $\Psi_J^{\boldsymbol{\mu}}\Psi_J^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} = \Psi_J^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\boldsymbol{\mu}}$. □

The following lemma plays a crucial role in the paper.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $J \subset \mathbb{N}$ and M be a simple weight $\mathcal{A}_\infty$-module on which x_i and ∂_j, $i \in \mathbb{N}, j \notin J$, act injectively, and ∂_j, $j \in J$, act nilpotently. Let $\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ be such that $\mu_i = 0$, $i \notin J$ and $\mu_j \notin \mathbb{Z}$, $j \in J$. Then $\mathcal{D}_J^{\boldsymbol{\mu}}M$ is a simple weight module on which all x_i, ∂_i, $i \in \mathbb{N}$ act injectively.*

Proof. Set $L := \mathcal{D}_J^{\boldsymbol{\mu}}M$. We first prove that all x_i, ∂_i, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, act injectively on L. Due to Remark 4.2, every element ℓ of L can be written uniquely in the form $\mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}-\mathbf{n}}m$, $\mathbf{n} \in (\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})^{\mathbb{N}}_{\text{fin}}$, $m \in M$, $n_i = 0$ for $i \notin J$. But then $x_i\mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}-\mathbf{n}}m = 0$ if and only if $x_i m = 0$, which occurs only for $m = 0$. Also, $\partial_i\mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}-\mathbf{n}}m = 0$ if and only if $\partial_i m + (\mu_i - n_i)x_i^{-1}m = 0$. If $i \notin J$ the latter implies $\partial_i m = 0$ and hence $m = 0$. For $i \in J$, the identity $\partial_i m = (\mu_i - n_i)x_i^{-1}m$, together with the facts that ∂_i is nilpotent on m and $\mu_i \neq n_i$, imply again that $m = 0$.

Now we prove that L is simple. Let $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \operatorname{Supp} M$. Since x_i and ∂_i act injectively on L, $\operatorname{Supp} L = \boldsymbol{\mu} + \boldsymbol{\lambda} + \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}_{\text{fin}}$. To prove that L is simple, it is enough to show that for every $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}_{\text{fin}}$, every nonzero vector ℓ of $L^{\boldsymbol{\mu}+\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\mathbf{m}}$ generates L. Every such ℓ can be written uniquely as $\ell = \mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}-\mathbf{n}}m$, for some $m \in M^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{n}}$ and $\mathbf{n} \in (\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})^{\mathbb{N}}_{\text{fin}}$ with $n_i = 0$ for $i \notin J$. In what follows, we fix $\ell' = \mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}-\mathbf{n}'}m'$, $m' \in M$, $\mathbf{n}' \in (\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})^{\mathbb{N}}_{\text{fin}}$, $n'_i = 0$ for $i \notin J$, and find $u \in \mathcal{A}_\infty$ such that $u\ell = \ell'$. Since M is simple, there exists $u_1 \in \mathcal{A}_\infty$ such that $u_1 m = m'$. On the other hand, for $u_2 := \Psi_J^{\boldsymbol{\mu}-\mathbf{n}'}(u_1) \in \mathcal{D}_J\mathcal{A}_\infty$, we have $\mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}-\mathbf{n}'}u_1 m = u_2\mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}-\mathbf{n}'}m$. Now, fix $u_3 \in \mathcal{A}_\infty$ and $\mathbf{t} \in (\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})^{\mathbb{N}}_{\text{fin}}$, $t_i = 0$ for $i \notin J$, such that $u_2 = u_3\mathbf{x}^{-\mathbf{t}}$.

Therefore

$$\ell' = \mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}-\mathbf{n}'}m' = \mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}-\mathbf{n}'}u_1 m = u_2\mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}-\mathbf{n}'}m = u_3\mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}-\mathbf{n}'-\mathbf{t}}m = u_3\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{n}'-\mathbf{t}}\ell.$$

It remains to find $u_4 \in \mathcal{A}_\infty$ such that $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{n}'-\mathbf{t}}\ell = u_4\ell$ (then $u = u_3u_4$). Put for simplicity $\mathbf{s} := \mathbf{n} - \mathbf{n}' - \mathbf{t}$ and note that $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}_{\text{fin}}$ with $s_i = 0$ for $i \notin J$. To complete the proof we use that $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{s}}$ is a finite product of elements $x_i^{s_i}$ and that if $j \in J$ and $s_j < 0$, then

$$x_j^{s_j}\ell = \frac{1}{(\mu_j + \lambda_j + m_j)...(\mu_j + \lambda_j + m_j + s_j - 1)}\partial_j^{-s_j}\ell.$$

Note that the denominator is nonzero, because $\mu_j \notin \mathbb{Z}$ and $\lambda_j, m_j \in \mathbb{Z}$. □

5. Classification of the simple objects in $\mathcal{W}$

Recall the notation $\mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]_{\partial}$ introduced after Proposition 3.4. The following is the main result of the paper.

Theorem 5.1. *(i) Every simple weight module of $\mathcal{A}_{\infty}$ is isomorphic to $M(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, J) := \mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]_{\partial}^{\theta_J}$ for some $J \subset \mathcal{I}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ and some $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ with the property that $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ implies $\lambda_i \geq 0$.*

(ii) $M(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, J) \simeq M(\boldsymbol{\lambda'}, J')$ if and only if $\boldsymbol{\lambda} - \boldsymbol{\lambda'} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}_{\text{fin}}$ and $J = J'$.

Proof. (i) Let M be a simple $\mathcal{A}_{\infty}$-module in $\mathcal{W}$. Then there are disjoint subsets J and I of $\mathbb{N}$ such that x_j acts nilpotently on M if and only if $j \in J$, and ∂_i acts nilpotently on M if and only if $i \in I$. Then on the module M^{θ_J} all x_i act injectively and θ_j act nilpotently if and only if $j \in I \sqcup J$. Let $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \operatorname{Supp} M^{\theta_J}$. It is enough to show that $M^{\theta_J} \simeq \mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]_{\partial}$. Fix $\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $\mu_i = 0$ for $i \notin I \sqcup J$ and $\mu_j \notin \mathbb{Z}$, for $j \in I \sqcup J$. Then by Lemma 4.4, $\mathcal{D}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_J M^{\theta_J}$ is a simple module on which all x_i, ∂_i, $i \in \mathbb{N}$ act injectively. Now, using that $\boldsymbol{\lambda} + \boldsymbol{\mu} \in \operatorname{Supp} M^{\theta_J}$, by Corollary 3.7 we have that $\mathcal{D}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_J M^{\theta_J} \simeq \mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\boldsymbol{\mu}}\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$. Twisting these two isomorphic modules by $\Psi_J^{-\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ and using Lemma 4.3, we obtain $\mathcal{D}_J M^{\theta_J} \simeq \mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$. Now, since M^{θ_J} is simple, by Proposition 3.4 we have $M^{\theta_J} \simeq \mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]_{\partial}$.

(ii) Assume that $\mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]_{\partial}^{\theta_J} \simeq \mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda'}}\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]_{\partial}^{\theta_{J'}}$. The identity $J = J'$ follows from the fact that J (respectively, J') is exactly the set of all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that x_i acts injectively on $\mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]_{\partial}^{\theta_J}$ (respectively, on $\mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda'}}\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]_{\partial}^{\theta_{J'}}$). So, we have $\mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]_{\partial} \simeq \mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda'}}\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]_{\partial}$. Comparing the supports of the two modules and using Lemma 2.1 we obtain $\boldsymbol{\lambda} - \boldsymbol{\lambda'} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}_{\text{fin}}$.

Conversely, if $\boldsymbol{\lambda} - \boldsymbol{\lambda'} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}_{\text{fin}}$, by Lemma 3.2, $\mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}] \simeq \mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda'}}\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$. Hence $\mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]_{\partial} \simeq \mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda'}}\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]_{\partial}$. ☐

Remark 5.2. *The above theorem easily provides a classification of the simple objects in one more category: the generalized weight $\mathcal{A}_{\infty}$-modules. An $\mathcal{A}_{\infty}$-module M is a generalized weight module if $M = \bigoplus_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}} M^{(\boldsymbol{\lambda})}$, where*

$$M^{(\boldsymbol{\lambda})} = \{m \in M \mid (x_i\partial_i - \lambda_i)^N m = 0, \textit{ for every } i \in \mathbb{N}, \textit{ and some } N = N(i,m)\}.$$

6. Injectives and projectives in $\mathcal{W}$

For a weight $\mathcal{A}_{\infty}$-module $M = \bigoplus_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}} M^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$, denote by $M^{\vee}$ the $\mathcal{A}_{\infty}$-module

$$\bigoplus_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}} \left(M^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\right)^*$$

with action defined by $(x_i \cdot f)(m) := f(\partial_i m)$, $(\partial_i \cdot f)(m) := f(x_i m)$. It is standard fact that $M \mapsto M^{\vee}$ is an exact contravariant functor on $\mathcal{W}$ that maps projectives to injectives, injectives to projectives, and preserves the simple objects.

Theorem 6.1. *Let* $M = \mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]_{\partial}^{\theta_I}$ *be a simple module in* $\mathcal{W}$ *with* $I \subset \mathcal{I}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ *and* $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ *such that* $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ *implies* $\lambda_i \geq 0$*. Then* $\mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]^{\theta_I}$ *and* $\left(\mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]^{\theta_I}\right)^{\vee}$ *are the injective envelope and the projective cover of* M*, respectively.*

Proof. Due to duality and since θ_I is an involutive automorphism, it is enough to show that $N = \mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$ is an injective module in $\mathcal{W}$. Choose $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ and $J \subset \mathcal{I}(\boldsymbol{\lambda} + \boldsymbol{\mu})$ such that $\lambda_i + \mu_i \notin \mathbb{Z}$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\Psi_J^{\boldsymbol{\mu}} N \simeq \mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\boldsymbol{\mu}}\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$ is the unique indecomposable weight $\mathcal{D}_J\mathcal{A}_\infty$-module with support in $\boldsymbol{\lambda} + \boldsymbol{\mu} + \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}_{\text{fin}}$. Therefore N is an injective module in the category of weight $\mathcal{D}_J\mathcal{A}_\infty$-modules. To compete the proof we use the succeeding lemma. □

Lemma 6.2. *Let* $J \subset \mathbb{N}$ *and let* N *be an injective module in the category* $\mathcal{W}_J$ *consisting of weight* $\mathcal{D}_J\mathcal{A}_\infty$*-modules. Then* N *is also an injective module in the category* $\mathcal{W}$*.*

Proof. In this proof we will use the following notations. For a homomorphism $f : M \to N$ of modules in $\mathcal{W}$, by $\mathcal{D}_J(f) : \mathcal{D}_J M \to \mathcal{D}_J N$ we denote the induced homomorphism of the localized modules. For any module M, $\theta_J : M \to \mathcal{D}_J M$ will denote the localization map $m \mapsto 1 \otimes m$. One has that $\theta_J f = \mathcal{D}_J(f)\theta_J$.

Now consider a homomorphism $\varphi : A \to N$ and a monomorphism $\psi : A \to B$ of modules in $\mathcal{W}$. By the exactness of the localization functor, $\mathcal{D}_J(\psi)$ is a monomorphism. Identifying N with $\mathcal{D}_J N$, and using the fact that N is injective in $\mathcal{W}_J$, we find a homomorphism $\gamma : \mathcal{D}_J B \to N$ such that $\gamma\mathcal{D}_J(\psi) = \mathcal{D}_J(\varphi)$. But then $\alpha := \gamma\theta_J$ is a homomorphism from B to N and

$$\alpha\psi = \gamma\theta_J\psi = \gamma\mathcal{D}_J(\psi)\theta_J = \mathcal{D}_J(\varphi)\theta_J = \varphi.$$

□

Corollary 6.3. *Let* M *be a simple module in* $\mathcal{W}$ *such that all* x_i *act injectively on* M *and* ∂_j *act nilpotently on* M *if and only if* $j \in J$*. Then* $\mathcal{D}_J M$ *and* $(\mathcal{D}_J M)^{\vee}$ *are the injective envelope and the projective cover of* M *in* $\mathcal{W}$*, respectively.*

REFERENCES

[BB] V. Bavula and V. Bekkert, Indecomposable representations of generalized Weyl algebras, *Comm. Algebra* **28** (2000), 5067–5100.

[BBF] V. Bekkert, G. Benkart, V. Futorny, Weight modules for Weyl algebras, in “Kac-Moody Lie algebras and related topics”, *Contemp. Math.* **343** Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004, 17–42.

[C] B.Cox, Fock Space Realizations of Imaginary Verma Modules. *Algebras and Representation Theory*, 8 (2), (2005), 173-206.

[CF] B. Cox and V. Futorny, Intermediate Wakimoto modules for affine $sl(n+1)$, *J. Physics A: Math. and General*, **37** (2004), 5589–5603.

[FF1] B. Feigin and E. Frenkel, Affine Kac-Moody algebras and semi-infinite flag manifolds, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **128** (1990) 161–18.

[FF2] B. Feigin, E. Frenkel, Representations of affine Kac-Moody algebras and bosonization. In *Physics and Mathematics of strings*, pages 271–316. World Sci. Publishing, Teaneck, NJ, 1990.

[GS] D. Grantcharov, V. Serganova, Category of $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$-modules with bounded weight multiplicities, *Mosc. Math. J.* **6** (2006), 119–134.

[JK] H. Jakobsen and V. Kac, A new class of unitarizable highest weight representations of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras. In *Nonlinear equations in classical and quantum field theory (Meudon/Paris, 1983/1984)*, pages 1–20. Springer, Berlin, 1985.

[M] O. Mathieu, Classification of irreducible weight modules, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **50** (2000), 537–592.

[MR] J. McConnell, J. Robson, Noncommutative Noetherian rings. With the cooperation of L. W. Small. Revised edition. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, **30**. *American Mathematical Society*, Providence, RI, 2001.

[MZ] V. Mazorchuk, K. Zhao, Characterization of simple highest weight modules, *arXiv:1105.1123v2.*

[V] A. Voronov, Semi-infinite induction and Wakimoto modules, *Amer. J. Math.* 121 (1999), 1079-1094.

[W] M. Wakimoto, Fock representations of the affine Lie algebra $A_1^{(1)}$, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 104(4), (1986), 605–609.

Instituto de Matemática e Estatística, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo SP, Brasil

E-mail address: `futorny@ime.usp.br`

Department of Mathematics, University of Texas at Arlington, Arlington, TX 76019, USA

E-mail address: `grandim@uta.edu`